

# DRAFT

Education and Technology Committee

January 31<sup>st</sup>

Present: Jollean Sinclair, Diana Williams, Gina Hogue, Kris Biondolillo, Yeonsang Hwang, Henry Torres, Kevin Downum, Anna Raffo, Mary for Erika Tuck

- Minutes have been updated on web
- Discussion about authentication
  - Have to authenticate in some way
  - ID
    - Can use U-Card
    - Has to be more than just login
- Current Plan –
  - Registrar will get rid of current fee for the proctoring. If faculty wants to use it, faculty will have to submit at the time textbooks are submitted and student will be charged for it
  - U-Card will be used and there is a yearly fee that works for all classes for 12 months
  - Faculty can use Respondus for visual, but that requires more work on the part of faculty
  
  - ITS & ITTC are still working on proposal
    - Dr. Sinclair – can working group put this information in another format for us
    - Henry Torres and Kevin Downum are getting cost
      - Chancellor wants costs to go away as well as problems we have had with the current system (inappropriate behavior).
      - Faculty can opt-in for Proctor U version and registration will add fee
    - Authentication is still mandatory
  - Web Assisted classes need to be part of the conversation
    - If tests are being taken on-line in order to save class time, they should be included
    - Academic Integrity
  - Authentication and Academic Integrity are different. They both need to be part of the discussion
  - To sum up: Working group will give us additional information
- Tried to come up with a central location to create a proctor center
  - Have the space but not the staff
  - Cannot offer without full time staff/faculty. Don't have the funds to pay for that
  - Who can proctor? Need to find out

- Discussion about GA's but unsure if they can proctor
      - Some discussion that a staff has to have a higher education level can proctor those with less education, just not the same level. IE – Masters cannot proctor Masters
- Proposes for Academic Affairs
  - This committee wants Academic Affairs to make a plan for Academic Integrity for assessment outside of the classroom to cover the many ways classes use assessment
- Update on Canvas pilot
  - Optional this semester – was put on hold for Fall because of the lateness of it coming together Fall semester
  - Purpose of pilot – to come up with an informed decision
    - Those using: Damphouse – web assisted (used before), Covey – docs, videos and supplementary information (used before), Biondolillo – remade class
  - Questions:
    - How many will have to be trained for it?
    - How much will training cost?
    - How much will it cost to remake the classes?
      - Those that tried to merge over from Blackboard did not have success
    - How does it compare to Ultra Blackboard?
    - Cost Benefit Analysis?
    - How many Sessions needed to help and when will it start and how often
    - Comments – Blackboard has more tools and new mobile app works well
      - Biondolillo asked how does Ultra BB and Canvas compare side by side so that we aren't comparing apples to oranges
        - Compare Communications, Projects, Video ...
    - Need to put it out to Faculty – Maybe have some Brown Bag sessions
    - Lisa Bohn had an idea of doing a small stipend institute to learn it this summer
    - Note: Decisions affects all campus as well as centers
  - Tech fees have not changed in several years

Next meeting: Monday Feb 12<sup>th</sup> at 3:00

Will invite Summer as well as AOS to meeting